

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. 1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/687,199		10/12/2000	Stanislaw Czaja	LSI-002-PAP-2 9271	
24319	7590	01/25/2006		EXAMINER	
		ORATION	MOORE, IAN N		
1621 BARBER LANE MS: D-106				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MILPITAS	MILPITAS, CA 95035			2661	
				DATE MAILED: 01/25/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Response to Rule 312 Communication	09/687,199	CZAJA ET AL.					
Acopones to Nais 0.2 communication	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Ian N. Moore	2661					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address –							
 In the amendment filed on 18 October 2005 under 37 CFR 1.312 has been considered, and has been: a) ☐ entered. 							
b) 🛛 entered as directed to matters of form not affecting	b) 🛛 entered as directed to matters of form not affecting the scope of the invention.						
c) disapproved because the amendment was filed after the payment of the issue fee. Any amendment filed after the date the issue fee is paid must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c)(1) and the required fee to withdraw the application from issue.							
d) disapproved. See explanation below.							
e) entered in part. See explanation below.							
CHAU NGUYEN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600							

Art Unit: 2661

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed 1/3/2006 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.97(d)

because it <u>lacks a statement</u> as specified in 37 CFR 1.97(e). It has been placed in the application

file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

2. The information disclosure statement filed 1/3/2006 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.97(d)

because it lacks the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(p). It has been placed in the application file, but

the information referred to therein has not been considered.

INM 9NM

1-18-06

CHAU NGUYEN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Chu Ti Nfigur

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600